

THE DAILY NEWS.

FRIDAY SEPTEMBER 25, 1874.

JOHN W. DUNHAM.....Editor.

JORDAN STONE.....Manager

THE DAILY NEWS IS THE ONLY PAPER IN THE CITY OF RALEIGH WHICH TAKES THE TELEGRAPHIC REPORTS.

JOB PRINTING.

We call the attention of all parties wishing printing executed neatly, expeditiously, and upon reasonable terms, to the facilities offered at the News Printing Establishment.

We can furnish at short notice

BLANKS, BILL HEADS, LETTER HEADS, CARDS, PROGRAMMES, HANDBILLS, PAMPHLETS, CATALOGUES, POSTERS, CHECKS, DRAFTS, &c.

WITHOUT intending to endorse the inclusions, which Mr. JOHNSON draws from the statement of facts made by him for the New York *Herald*, we publish his letter to that paper, to the exclusion of editorial matter this morning, because the great reputation of the author entitles anything he may say to the attentive consideration of the American people. To us, it seems, if McENERY and the men upon the ticket with him were lawfully chosen by the people of Louisiana at the election regularly held it is the duty of the Federal Government to respect the will of the people of that State and install them in the offices to which they were chosen. But to the letter:

BALTIMORE, Sept. 21, 1874.

James Gordon Bennett, Editor of the *Herald*, New York:

DEAR SIR—In compliance with the request contained in your note of the 19th inst., I give you the subjoined opinion "upon the Louisiana difficulty." The questions the occasion present are of importance to the whole country, as well as to Louisiana. They should therefore be treated with much deliberation and in no partisan spirit. I proceed to consider them under three heads, viz.:

First—Was the President right, in the first instance, in recognizing Kellogg as the Governor of the State?

Second—if he was not, had the people any right to drive Kellogg from power, as they have done, by force of arms, and to take possession of the government?

Third—Has the President a right to restore Kellogg and the government of which he was the head?

FIRST.

In my opinion the President committed an error in considering Kellogg as the legitimate Governor. He seems to have acted regardless of the fact whether he had been elected by a majority of the people of the State.

His opponents insisted that he had not been, and there is every reason to believe that in this they were correct. The President's course was apparently adopted by reason of a judgment, or decree, of the United States District Court of the State. The President considered himself bound by that decree, and, as it assumed the election of Kellogg, he thought he was to take that election as conclusively established. In this, I think, the President was clearly wrong. The question whether a State government has been legitimately established is not a judicial one. The courts of the United States are not vested with any authority to consider it. This, in the nature of things, must be so.

How is such a fact, when disputed, to be ascertained when the ground of the dispute is that the party claiming to have been elected did not receive a majority of the legal votes? Are the witnesses to be examined by the courts? Are the ballots which have been cast to be produced? Is the competency of each voter to be passed upon? It must be obvious that over such inquiries no court of the United States has jurisdiction. The question is a political one, and is to be adjudged by the political department of the government. This was clearly ruled by the Supreme Court in the case of *Luther vs Borden* (7th Howard.) In that case the facts were these:

RHODE ISLAND AFTER THE REVOLUTION,

had no other constitution until 1843 than its colonial charter, granted by Charles the Second in 1763. The provisions of that charter were supposed by many, and probably by a majority of the people of the State, to be, in certain particulars, anti-republican.

They resolved, therefore, to substitute another constitution, and for this purpose a convention was held and a new government formed, under which, at a succeeding popular election, Dorr was chosen Governor.

He then attempted to get possession by force, and was successfully resisted by the charter government. The question came before the Supreme Court, in the case referred to instituted by Luther, who was acting under the Dorr constitution, to recover damages for a trespass alleged to have been committed by Borden, who had proceeded under the authority of the charter government. The opinion of the Court was given by Chief Justice Taney, and there was no dissent upon the point adverted to. It was expressly held that the inquiry whether a government exists in any State is a political and not a judicial one. The language of the Court is, "that the inquiry belonged to the political power and not to the judicial," and that it rested with the political power to decide whether the charter government had been displaced or not.

In the same case it was decided that when the President is called upon to protect a State against domestic violence, in the manner provided for by the fourth section of the fourth article of the Constitution, he is compelled to determine whether the Governor or the Legislature of the State making the call is or is not legitimate, and his determination is conclusive. The result of these several rulings seem obvious. Judge Durell had no authority whatever to pass upon the question whether Kellogg had been duly elected Governor. That was a question which, when properly brought before the President, he was to determine for himself. The action of the Judge possessed for him, nor for anybody else, no authority whatever. Consequently the President, when he recognized Kellogg, as he is understood to have done, exclusively upon the ground of that action, fell into an error. He abandoned—undesignedly, no doubt—the duty which the Constitution devolved upon him,

and sought authority for what he did under a judgment of a United States Judge, which, as to him, was entirely void.

SECOND.

But the President having recognized Kellogg, whether upon sufficient evidence or not, that recognition was at once absolute and final. The people of the State consequently, however unjust and injurious to them may have been the decision, possessed no right to subvert the government so recognized by force of arms, except as such right was a revolutionary one.

A right of revolution can never be supposed to exist under an established government. Such right, indeed, supposes no existing legal authority, but asserts itself upon natural and elementary principles, such as are stated in our Declaration of Independence.

But the States of this Union, however sovereign they are in many respects, are not wholly so. Each State is bound to the other States and to the United States, and the obligations is such that a right by force to change her form of government is not possessed by her, as its exercise might interfere with the relation that she bears to her sister States and to the paramount authority of the United States.

The government of Kellogg may have been grievous in the extreme to the people of Louisiana, and no doubt, it was so. Its corruption and abuse of power threatened the State with bankruptcy and were destructive of all individual enterprise and happiness. Kellogg's election is said to have been accomplished by means of gross frauds upon the franchise, and this is reported by a committee vested with the power to make the inquiry by the Senate of the United States. That report, and the speech of Hon.

Mr. Carpenter in support of it, it is thought, make good the allegations of fraud to all who are not blinded by partisan prejudice. Notwithstanding all the sad consequences of the existence of the Kellogg government the sufferers cannot legally find redress in violence. They must await the deliberation of Congress and the President, and must hope (I trust not in vain) that they will, at an early day, give them relief. That the President can have no wish, himself, to tyrannize, or to permit others to tyrannize, over any State in the Union, is a proposition upon which, I apprehend, a majority of the people of the country will entertain no doubt.

His past renown would be as nothing to him if he permitted himself to be governed by such motives. He has, I doubt not, in his action in originally recognizing Kellogg, either deceived himself or been deceived by others, but the error, as I think it was, must have been one of judgment, and not of design.

THIRD.

The President having recognized Kellogg, and that recognition never having been reversed by Congress, what is his duty in the existing emergency? That he cannot permit a State government to be subverted by domestic violence without palpable dereliction of duty is clear. When called upon in proper form to put it down the Constitution gives him no option in the matter. He is bound by its very words to bring it to a termination, and to restore the government attempted to be overthrown.

Kellogg's government, from the time it was recognized by the President to the occurrence of the late violence, was the only government known to the State. Every department—legislative, executive and judicial—existed under its authority. The President therefore is imperatively called upon to protect it and to reinstate it as it was before the violence took place if he can.

His recognition of it having been acted upon as conclusive of its legitimate existence, he has now no right to withdraw it. His decision is as binding upon him as it was upon the State.

His jurisdiction over the subject was exhausted by its first exercise, and his subsequent conduct is to be governed by the same considerations which would control it if the legality of that government had never been called into doubt.

What he is doing now, in my opinion, is what he is bound to do. An administration of right to resort to violence to put down an existing government might, by its example, often lead to anarchy in every State—a condition of things more fatal to a people than any abuse of the elective franchise or governmental power.

I have said that the President is bound to restore the Kellogg government—if he can. But the government is not constituted of Kellogg alone. It is made up also of the Legislature, the judiciary and of subordinate officers, appointed or elected.

The most of these are reported either to have resigned or abdicated. If they refuse to return to their offices has the President any power to force them to do so? If he has not, has he power to compel the election or appointment of others? I think it clear that he has neither, and the effect of his doing what he can for the restoration of Kellogg as Governor may leave the State without any other officer than a Governor, or with a Governor without a government.

The difficulty, therefore, of the present situation in Louisiana may not be obviated by the course he is pursuing or by any which he can constitutionally adopt. How it might certainly be met without further excitement or trouble is a question which would seem to me capable of a ready solution, and that is the one suggested in your note—the resignation of Kellogg and McEnergy. But to make this effective each of the Lieutenant Governors should also resign.

If they are governed by patriotic motives, and desire to restore tranquillity to their State, they will not hesitate to take such a step. That Kellogg can desire to retain a position which is so adverse to the feeling of the State, and which it is obvious he would not be able to hold a day without the aid of federal troops, seems to me to be equally unpatriotic and dishonorable.

But, upon such a question, the man—Kellogg—is the judge, and, as he may judge it, will he meet with the applause or condemnation of the country?

I remain, with great regard, your obedient servant,

REVERDY JOHNSON.

In many of the less fashionable Northern mountain resorts this summer the waiters were the daughters of neighboring farmers. Actuated by no little shame, they are said to have done their work so well that many of the more kindly of the guests parted from them with every mark of genuine attachment. These girls thus gain sufficient for their winter schooling, or that of their brothers and sisters, or money for some extra finery, or perhaps for their marriage portion.

They, moreover, see something of life, and as they are often keen, sensible and teachable, they learn better manners and less rusticity and more refinement.

The tobacco statistics of the world, could they be seen in one mass, would astonish the economists as well as the moralists. France consumes annually 43,000,000 pounds of smoking tobacco, 8,000,000 pounds of segars, say 850,000,000 in number; 17,000,000 pounds of snuff, 1,500,000 pounds of chewing tobacco, which is either smoked or chewed or snuffed. There are sixteen tobacco factories in France (two, those of Metz and Strasbourg, having been lost by the German war,) and about 40,000 retail tobacco and cigar shops, 1,200 of which are in Paris.

SCHENCK'S PULMONIC SYRUP, FOR THE CURE OF CONSUMPTION, COUGHS AND COUGHING.—The great virtue of this medicine is that it ripens the matter and throws it out of the system, purifies the blood, and thus cures a cure.

Schenck's Sea Weed Tonic, for the Cure of Dyspepsia, Indigestion, etc. The Tonic produces a healthy action of the stomach, creating an appetite, forming chyle, and curing the most obstinate cases of Indigestion.

Schenck's Mandrake Pills, for the cure of Liver Complaint, &c. These pills are alternative, and produce a healthy action of the liver without the least danger, as they are free from calomel, and yet more efficacious in restoring a healthy action of the liver.

These remedies are a certain cure for Consumption, as the Pulmonic Syrup ripens the matter and purifies the blood.

The Mandrake Pills act upon the liver, creates a healthy bile, and remove all diseases of the liver, often a cause of Consumption. The Sea Weed Tonic gives tone and strength to the stomach, make a good digestion and enables the organs to form good blood; and thus creates a healthy circulation of healthy blood. The combined action of these medicines, as thus explained, will cure every case of Consumption, if taken in time, and the use of the medicines preserved in.

Dr. Schenck is professionally at his principal office, corner Sixth and Arch streets, Philadelphia, every Monday, where all letters for advice must be addressed.

Schenck's medicines for sale by all Druggists.

Sept 2-D&Wim

CONFECTIONERS.

B R O W N ' S

VARIETY STORE.

The cheapest place to buy all kinds of

Musical Instruments,

(From a Jewsharp to a Church Organ.)

Strings and Trimmings for Violins, Guitars and Banjos.

BASKETS! BASKETS!

The largest assortment in the city.

Brooms, Hair, Clothes, Crumb and Shoe Brushes, Blacking, Feather Dusters, Willow Nursery and Rocking Chairs, Britains and Cocoa Dippers, Wood Tooth Picks, Clothe Bars,

Playing Cards, Dice and Cups, Fancy Goods, Toys, Work Boxes, Writing Desks, Ladies' Companions, Beads, Pocket Books, China Vases, Jewelry Boxes, Cigars and Tobacco Boxes, Dolls, Marbles, Tops, India Rubber Balls, Battles, Alphabets and Building Blocks.

Pipes, Cigars and Tobacco,

And many other things too numerous to mention. Call and examine my stock and prices before buying elsewhere. No trouble to show goods.

NAT. L. BROWN,

Raleigh, N. C.

Sept 6-12

G RAY'S PATENT OIL CAN,

ADJUSTABLE PAN

AND

LEVER PUMP.

For sale

my 12-14

F O R

TWO GOOD

400 CORDS

ready for delivery, b

Aug 30-31 THOM

F O R

A beautiful CORN

on Hillsboro Street

containing one half ac

on Hillsboro Street

at ne 13-14w

F A R M

I will sell at t

SATURD

at 12 m., to the hig

before at private

"Milbrook," site

about 4 miles from

the late Hon. J. E

Sept 16-17

H O R S E

One Splendid

One L

For sale

my 12-14

F O R

A new

W I L C

SEWIN

Never been u

New York. Co

cation at the

Sept 11-12

N E W A

MACHIN

40 h. p. Retu

10 "

10 " Static

15 " new

Second-hand

Saw, Leather

R A H

RICE

Aug 8-23

66 A RA

H. I. HED

Copper Smith

by a private

he herein off